

LOIP (Locality Outcome Improvement Plan) – Resilient, Included & Supported Outcome Improvement Group

Friday 4 August 2017, Room 1, Aberdeen Health & Care Village

Action Note

Present

Trevor Gillespie, Team Manager, Performance Management, ACC
Jane Russell, Partnership Manager, ACVO TSI
Derek McGowan, Head of Communities & Housing, ACC
Elsie Manners, Community Planning Development Officer, ACC
Gail Woodcock, Lead Transformation Manager, ACC
Kay Diack, Locality Manager for the Central North area, ACC
David Scott, Station manager, SFRS Prevention and Protection
Joanne Larsen – Community Safety Service Manager, ACC
Jo Bell – Director of Sport and Active Lifestyles, Sport Aberdeen, on behalf of Active Aberdeen Partnership
Megan Harris – Registrar in Public Health, NHSG
James Simpson, Acting Integrated Services Principal Planning Officer, Children's Services, ACC
Jillian Evans, Head of Health Intelligence, NHSG
Fraser Hoggan, Development Officer, ADP

Apologies

Judith Proctor, Val Vertigans, Jenny McCann, Simon Haston, Beverley Johnson, Elaine Logue

In Attendance

Megan Harris
Sarah Gibbon, Executive Assistant

No.	Issue/Opportunity	Who	Action
1.	Welcome and Introductions		The group reviewed the note of the last meeting for accuracy and approved the note.
2.	Action Note of 12 May 2017		Most of the matters arising were accounted for in the main agenda. Gail Woodcock advised the order of the agenda today would change slightly.

<p>3.</p>	<p>Development of Priorities</p>	<p>a) Data sharing for prevention</p> <p>i) Using Third Sector Data and Intelligence, Jane Russell</p> <p>Jane provided a brief update relating to this agenda item and handed out a summary of recent discussions, and emphasised points 11 and 13. A meeting is due to take place next Friday with key organisations, and a further update and more detailed discussion will be brought back to a future meeting. Jane invited the group to take away the summary to reflect and feedback any comments to her.</p> <p>ii) Desktop research on what works elsewhere, Jillian Evans & Megan</p> <p>Summary of Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High variation in the definition of resilience – cannot measure without a definition. • Factors contributing to the development of resilience i.e. through support networks. • Public definitions of ‘community’ and their belonging to both geographical communities and communities of interest. • Talked through a number of case studies mentioned in the report. • How do you measure resilience – the research found 2 scales for measuring resilience (largely relating to disaster), though there was not 1 clear system that people used to identify resilience. • The need to develop sentinel markers. • How to identify resilience in communities, without a disaster. • Issues and complexities regarding identifying communities. • Trends and opportunities in inter-generational initiatives for developing resilience and relationships within a community. • Opportunities for using social media i.e. ‘Big Data’
-----------	---	---

		<p>GW/KD Action – Gail Woodcock to meet Kay Diack out-with this meeting for further discussion and draft a project charter relating to a potential test of change with a nursery across the road from older people home in Middlefield – potential test of change. Activity to be structured around the learning needs of the children. Jillian & Megan to assist in terms of potential indicators.</p> <p>MH Action – Megan to contact University of Birmingham re. any write up from the ‘old people's home for 4 year olds” programme, analyse how they have measured etc. Explore the Dutch Model for adults/students co-living.</p> <p>JE Action – Jillian Evans to start drafting a write-up with the sentinel markers and potential for use of social media for the next meeting. Test of change – how do we identify our communities.</p> <p>MH Action – Megan to draft a ‘dummies guide’ to logic modelling, including reference to social media and any evidence of social impact, and send to Jillian Evans.</p> <p>JE Action - Workshop on logical modelling linked to the above tests of change – to be scheduled. 8th of September meeting of the group has a number of business items. Jillian Evans will give some thought to how the logic modelling workshop will run and update at the meeting.</p> <p>ALL Action – 6th October – use this date for the workshop on logic modelling.</p> <p>b) Using “Big Data” for prevention</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Definition of problem ii) Predictive analytics – what data and how would we use this data? iii) How could we make use of “big data”? Consider in relation to reducing homelessness, accessibility for disabled people, substance misuse successful recoveries. iv) Desktop research on what works elsewhere, Jillian Evans
--	--	---

4.	Proposal for test of change		<p>To be carried forward to the next meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Seaton Rehab Project, Paul Tytler b) Making every opportunity count – project group meeting over the next couple of weeks. Draft project charter to the next meeting. c) Alcohol Brief Interventions d) Joining up pathways – linking across LOIP including transition between children and adults e) Using employment as a key driver for wellbeing and giving a sense of purpose f) Causes of vulnerability and promotion of resilience g) Workshop
5.	Linking to LOIP and driver diagrams	GW	<p>Gail Woodcock outlined several projects currently in development including: the Seaton Rehab Project; Making Every Opportunity Count; the Harm App; Link Work & App Project; Place standard project; Adult Protection improvement plan; Choose Life app (complete).</p> <p>A full list of these projects has been mapped out in a driver diagram which was provided to those present. Primary, secondary and indicators have been approved. It was highlighted that there is some variation within the diagram – some key drivers have many projects and work ongoing, some are more sparsely populated.</p> <p>The group agreed with the overall approach of mapping projects against key drivers. The group examined and agreed the driver diagram in more depth, raising the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action – Consider including another indicator – reduce hate crime incidents, increase % hate crimes which are reported. • Every project within the driver will require a project charter. • Scoring and inclusion of projects within the LOIP. Projects should only be those projects which require partnership working. • Impact of the new Socio-Economic duty on the projects outlined. Could it be

		GW	possible to also include Health Inequalities within this? Action – agenda item for next meeting. Key driver will be distributed for feedback and the group are invited to make suggestions and improvements, particularly relating to what can be done to address the final driver, which currently does not have any input. Also there is a need to map what is already on-going within organisations in the city.
6.	AOCB		
7.	DATE OF NEXT MEETING		8 September 2017, 10.30 am, Room 1, Health Village <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agenda items for next meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using third sector data and intelligence • Seaton Rehab project • MeOC, ABI project • Final primary driver – reduce health inequalities • Spreadsheet • Plan for workshop • Middlefield intergenerational test of change project charter • Workshop to consider outputs of desktop research – to take place on 6th October •